

Winona County Zoning Ordinance Matrix



5-20-2010

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
Chapter 3 - Non Conforming Uses and Structures	Current Ordinance contains language to manage nonconformities		Changed text to allow for non conformities to be replaced no matter the conditions that removed them.	Advised by County Attorney to change text back to State language regarding how nonconformities are regulated.	Yes -State statues provides the County to regulate nonconforming uses and structures.
Chapter 5 - Administration 5.5.4.1 Criteria to Grant a Conditional Use Permit for not a feedlot or a home in the A/RC District	Current Ordinance contains the same approval criteria for all CUP requests	Comp Plan acts as the guide for land use decisions	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes- State statutes enables the County to issue CUPs as long as the applicant meets the criteria contained in the Ordinance
5.5.4.2 Criteria to place a residence on a lot less than 40-acres in the A/RC District	Current Ordinance does not include specific criteria relating to residential densities in the A/NR District	Comp Plan promotes densities based on minimum lot sizes of 40, 80, or 160-acres	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes- State statutes enables the County to issue CUPs as long as the applicant meets the criteria contained in the Ordinance
5.5.4.3 Criteria for a feedlot CUP	Current Ordinance does not include specific criteria relating to feedlots	Comp Plan recommends the control of the location and size feedlots to reduce pollution, and develop a fair and equitable permit system	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes -State statutes enables the County to issue CUPs as long as the applicant meets the criteria contained in the Ordinance
5.6.2 Criteria to grant variances	Current Ordinance contains similar language	Comp Plan acts as the guide for land use decisions	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes-State statutes authorizes the Board of Adjustment to issue variances only after the criteria establishes the presence of an <i>undue hardship</i>
5.6.4 Lapse of Variance	Current Ordinance does not contain a provision of this type		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes -State statues provides the County to regulate the issuance and monitoring of variances

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
5.7 Reimbursement of Additional Professional Costs	Current Ordinance does not contain a provision of this type.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No- The State statues do not regulate the reimbursement of additional professional costs.
Chapter Six is an entirely new Chapter with the purpose of combining all administrative permits (ones issued by staff) into one	Permit provisions are distributed throughout the current Ordinance	Comp Plan promotes the adoption of effective methods of implementing goals and policies	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	N/A
6.7 Advertising Device Permit (a sign permit)	Current ordinance contains no provisions of this type	Comp Plan contains language advocating the preservation of scenic resources	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes-State statues provides the County to regulate the issuance and monitoring permits. The State does have restrictions on sign style/type along byways and Interstate thoroughfares.
6.11 Development Permit (formally know as Zoning Certificates)	The current Ordinance names these permits as Zoning Certificates	Comp Plan promotes the adoption of effective methods of implementing goals and policies	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes- State statues provides the County to regulate the issuance and monitoring of permits through zoning authority.
6.11.2 Survey Plot Requirements for Proposed Dwellings (a new provision to enable staff to accurately review requests for new dwellings by requiring a property owner to submit a precise plan of the building site)	Current ordinance does not include similar language	Comp Plan promotes the adoption of effective methods of implementing goals and policies	PC reviewed and modified several of the requirements	No changes	No-The State does not regulate the need for a survey plot, this was created to manage the proposed location of dwellings on a site.
6.13 Land Disturbance Permit	Current Ordinance contains similar language, however; the proposed language improves its clarity	Comp Plan promotes the adoption of effective methods of implementing goals and policies	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes- State statues provides the County to regulate the issuance and monitoring of permits through zoning authority.
7.0 Environmental Review , this section highlights areas of regulation that are already required or mandated from the State	Current ordinance does not address	General policies and goals	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	To some degree, yes. This section designed to just point out what responsibilities that the County must undergo with specific proposed projects. Mandates and authorization stated in Minnesota Statutes 116D, and Minnesota Rules 4410
8.1.1 Intent & Purpose - for Feedlot chapter of ordinance	Current ordinance does not include an Intent & Purpose for the feedlot section of the ordinance	Language in the comp plan supports the "draft" Intent & Purpose language	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No - Consultant included the Intent & Purpose to communicate the intent and purpose for the ordinance section.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
8.2.1 Scope - for the Feedlot chapter of the ordinance	Current ordinance does not include a Scope for the feedlot section of the ordinance		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No - Staff recommended language regarding Pre-existing feedlot structures based on comments received at public input sessions. Language regarding excessive animal unit numbers was included by the consultant.
8.2.2 Application	Current ordinance (section 303) includes language that is very similar		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No - 8.2.2 (Application) indicates that provisions of the ordinance are there for promoting public health, safety and welfare and most restrictive rules (county or state) in place apply. Language was included by the consultant as it is consistent with language found in the existing ordinance.
8.2.3 Compliance	Current ordinance (section 719) includes language that is very similar		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.3.1 Feedlot Officer	Current ordinance (section 719) includes language that is similar		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.3.2 Duties and Powers - of the Feedlot Officer	Current ordinance does not include language describing the Duties and Powers of the Feedlot Officer position		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.3.3A Administered by the County - how county processes applications	Current ordinance does not include similar language		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.3.3B Administered by the County - how county processes applications	Current ordinance includes language found in section (B.). Section B indicates that applications are submitted to the Feedlot Officer.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.3.3C Administered by the County - how county processes applications			PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. Section C indicates no other required building permits can be issued until necessary feedlot permits are issued. Inclusion of this language was recommended to avoid building approval by townships that do their own zoning when a feedlot permit (issued by the county) may still be required.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
8.3.4 Administered by the State - when the county is required to forward application to the state for processing/permitting.	Current ordinance contains language that has the same requirements.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.4.1 Registration - when feedlots are required to register	Current ordinance does not include language identifying registration requirements for feedlots.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. Most of the section is state requirement. Requiring shoreland feedlots with less than 10 A.U to register would be a more restrictive county requirement
8.4.2 Permit Required - when feedlots are required to apply for a permit.	Current ordinance contains language that has the same requirements.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. State requirement for permitting basically starts at 300 A.U. Permitting requirements for feedlots with 10 A.U. or more in shoreland or 50 A.U. or more outside of shoreland are more restrictive county requirements.
8.4.3 Shoreland Review Required - inspection of feedlot sites in shoreland with less than 10 A. U.	Current ordinance does not include similar language.	Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. Identical language not found in state rules, but state rules authorize the authority to do inspections at any feedlot site. Language was included by the consultant.
8.4.4 Permit Application 1, 2a, 3a & 5 - identifies information that needs to be included as part of feedlot permit application.	Yes/No. Yes - sections 1 & part of section 2. Section 1 lists basic application information like location, owner, animals etc. Section 2 lists detailed information that needs to be included as part of a manure management plan (MMP). The current ordinance states a MMP is required, but does not identify information that needs to be included in a MMP. No - Sections 2a-d, 3, 4, 5, & 6. These sections provide additional details (mainly manure management related) that are required to be submitted as part of a MMP.	Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
8.4.4 Permit Application 2a - identifies MMP requirement & Nitrate probability map requirement.	Current ordinance requires a MMP but does not require Nitrate probability map.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. State rules do not require a nitrate probability map. The inclusion of language regarding requiring a nitrate probability map was added by the Planning Commission.
8.4.4 Permit Application 2b, 2c, 2d, 3b, 4 and 6 - identifies information that needs to be included as part of feedlot permit application.	Yes/No. Yes - sections 1 & part of section 2. Section 1 lists basic application information like location, owner, animals etc. Section 2 lists detailed information that needs to be included as part of a manure management plan (MMP). The current ordinance states a MMP is required, but does not identify information that needs to be included in a MMP. No - Sections 2a-d, 3, 4, 5, & 6. These sections provide additional details (mainly manure management related) that are required to be submitted as part of a MMP.	Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. These sections detail MMP requirements for feedlot sites less than 300 A. U., include slope restrictions for winter application of manure for all sites that are expanding, include setback requirements for winter feeding areas from streams and require a plan for disposal of dead livestock. Language included by the consultant based on public input sessions.
8.4.5 Government Notifications of Proposed Construction - identifies notification requirements for feedlot construction/expansion activities that do not require permitting.	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.4.6 Conditional Use Permit - identifies when feedlots are required to apply for a CUP	Current ordinance contains language that has the same requirements.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No
8.4.7 Individual Water Appropriation Permit - identifies when feedlots are required to apply for an individual water appropriation permit.	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
8.4.8.1 Notice of Application - identifies the notification process that is followed by the county when someone applies for a feedlot permit.	Current ordinance contains language that has the same requirements.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. Section one identifies the notification process followed by the county that is more restrictive than state requirements.
8.4.8.2 Notice of Application - identifies the notification process that is followed by the county when someone applies for a feedlot permit.	Current ordinance does not include similar language		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.4.9 Duration of Operating Permits, Construction Short Form Permits and Interim Permits - describes the duration of feedlot permits	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. State does not have an Operating Permit.
8.5 Minimum Standards - identifies where feedlot setbacks are measured from.	Current ordinance language identified where setbacks are measured from, but the draft included additional language clarifying where setbacks are measured from for public parks			No changes	No
8.5.1 Feedlot Classes - identifies 1,000 foot feedlot setback to dwellings and distinguishes that in the A/RC district setback based on feedlot class.	The current ordinance included a 1,000 foot setback to dwellings, but it does not vary the setback based on feedlot size/class.		PC reviewed & approved	CB specifically reviewed/discussed this topic and made changes to allow varying animal head counts based on the size of the size of the animals.	No

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
<p>8.5.2 (1, 2 3a, 3c, 3d, 3g) Setbacks - identifies feedlot setback requirements to property lines, dwellings, public areas and sensitive features.</p>	<p>Current ordinance includes sections 1a, part of 2,3a, 3c, 3d and 3g. These section reference a standard 1,000 foot setback to dwellings and setback requirements to property lines and public areas and are found in the language of the current ordinance. Current ordinance does not include language in sections 1b, part of 2, 3b, 3e,3f, 3,h,3i,3j,3k. These sections include language that varies the setback requirement to dwellings based on feedlot size/class , identify setback requirements to sensitive features, clearly indicate expansion and/or restocking options when a feedlots and dwellings exist at distances that are less than the required standard and are not found in the language of the current ordinance.</p>	<p>Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices</p>	<p>PC reviewed & approved</p>	<p>No changes</p>	<p>No. Language was included based on its inclusion in the existing ordinance and comments received at pubic input sessions.</p>
<p>8.5.2 (3b, 3f,3h, 3i, 3j) Setbacks - identifies feedlot setback requirements to sensitive features.</p>	<p>Language is not directly included in the current ordinance, but is included by default because the current ordinance adopts the feedlot rules by reference.</p>	<p>Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices</p>	<p>PC reviewed & approved</p>	<p>No changes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>8.5.3 Geotechnical Investigations - indicates that additional study of proposed building sites can be required.</p>	<p>Current ordinance does not include similar language.</p>	<p>Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices</p>	<p>PC reviewed & approved</p>	<p>No changes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>8.5.4 Disposal of Animal Carcasses & 8.5.5 Land Application of Manure</p>	<p>Current ordinance does not include similar language.</p>		<p>PC reviewed & approved</p>	<p>No changes</p>	<p>Yes</p>

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
8.5.6 (E, F, G, H, I, J) Restrictions on Land Application Sites - identifies requirements for land application of manure	Current ordinance does not include similar language.	Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. These sections reference manure application setback requirements for floodplains, wells and for placing fence posts around sinkholes.
8.5.6 (A, B, C, D, I) Restrictions on Land Application Sites - identifies requirements for land application of manure	Current ordinance does not include similar language.	Language in the comp plan supports the protection of water resources and the implementation of best management practices	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.5.7 (4) Manure Storage & Transportation	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. This section indicates manure cannot be stored for more than 1 year and would be a county requirement that is more restrictive than state requirements.
8.5.7 (1, 2, 3, 5, 6) Manure Storage & Transportation	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.6 Violations & Enforcement	Current ordinance contains language that has the same requirements.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No
8.6.1 (1) Reporting of Spills and Accidental Discharges - identifies feedlot owner and county responsibilities when a manure spill or accidental discharge occurs	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes
8.6.1 (2) Reporting of Spills and Accidental Discharges - identifies feedlot owner and county responsibilities when a manure spill or accidental discharge occurs	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	CB specifically reviewed/discussed this topic.	No. This section identifies Planning Department requirements in the event of a spill or discharge. Language included by the Planning Commission
8.6.2 Abandonment/Accidental Discharge - identifies feedlot owners as being liable for clean-up from spills/discharges.	Current ordinance does not include similar language.		PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No - language gives county discretion put a special tax against the property. Language was included by the consultant. It should also be noted that the state rules include closure requirements and the state has the authority to levy fines.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
9.1.4 Fences	Permitted Encroachment-No Zoning Certificate required	No reference	Removed the need for a Development permit in the Agricultural District.	No changes	No- State text establishes detailed rules for agricultural fences when animals are involved, this would <u>not</u> include residential fences.
9.15 Fencing, Screening and Landscape Standards	Limited provisions relating to this topic, more detail in subdivisions	Encourage programs to protect and conserve the natural resources of the county; protect aesthetics	PC reviewed & approved	No Changes	No- Zoning Ordinance would have stronger regulations relating to fencing, screening, and landscaping. Consultant created text from the An example would be screening regulations when dealing with traffic site lines.
9.1.7 Glare	Limited provisions relating to this topic	Encourage programs to protect and conserve the natural resources of the county; protect aesthetics	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No-Glare was included into the Zoning Ordinance as a nuisance component, because it is a reviewed topic when dealing with subdivisions, bluff/steep slope development, and commercial development.
9.1.8 thru 9.1.11 ,9.1.16, 9.1.17 Smoke/Dust/ Air pollution/Noise	Limited provisions relating to this topic	Encourage programs to protect and conserve the natural resources of the county; protect aesthetics	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	All regulated by State Statute
9.1.19 Sales in AG/Residential Dist.	Current Ordinance does not regulate	Encourages Economic Development and Rural Home Occupations	PC reviewed & approved	No Changes	No- State has business regulations and vehicle sales regulations, consultant brought in model language to limit number and placement of vehicles to preserve rural character.
9.5 Performance Standards for Recreational Camping Vehicles	Current Ordinance regulates in Shoreland and Floodplain, also regulates the number of vehicles when determining if site is a campground also review of the way sewage is managed at the site.	Goal of protection of major natural resource areas in the County including the bluffs and woodlands, wetlands, streams and rivers to maintain the area's high quality of life and to serve as a basis for recreation and tourism in the County.	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No- State regulates vehicle regulations and does not regulate placement, number, size of vehicle. Consultant proposed text regulating because of the number of recent requests, staff had concerns with sewage being generated at these sites, a good number of sites a in areas that waste would be handled carefully because of the natural resources in the immediate area.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
9.6 Recreational Cabins	Current Ordinance regulates by stating that the structure is an 'accessory structure' and also review of the way sewage is managed at the site.	Goal of protection of major natural resource areas in the County including the bluffs and woodlands, wetlands, streams and rivers to maintain the area's high quality of life and to serve as a basis for recreation and tourism in the County.	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No- State regulates vehicle regulations and does not regulate placement, number, size of cabin. Consultant prepared text regulating because of the number of recent requests, staff had concerns with sewage being generated at these sites, a good number of sites a in areas that waste would be handled carefully because of the natural resources in the immediate area. In the past many cabins where being used as dwellings, circumventing the requirements for a dwelling.
9.7 Access/Driveways	Limited provisions relating to this topic, more detail when Subdivisions are occurring	Goal to give public opportunity to safe housing options throughout the county.	PC reviewed & approved	No Changes	No- State does not regulate, other than emergency personnel regulations of gaining access to a site. Consultant created text to regulate the creation of driveways and access that would both aid in emergency situations and also the disturbance of earthen material in sensitive topographic areas.
9.8 Off Street Parking	Limited provisions relating to this topic	Goal to create better off-street parking provisions	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Regulates, vehicle size, handicap accessibility, styles of signage and marking, minimum design standards.
9.15.3 Timber Harvesting Standards	Requires Timber Harvesting License	Manage timber growth on appropriate sites in the County to meet present and future timber product demands.	Reviewed and Approved the removal of the required License	No changes	No - State does not regulate the requirement of a Timber Harvesting License. Staff saw the requirement cumbersome for the local timber harvesters and property owners.
9.18 Outdoor Wood Fired Burner	Current Ordinance does not regulate	Language relating to air quality protection of industrial operations	PC reviewed & approved	Comments on increasing standards, no action taken.	No- This provision was added to regulate the placement of burners and associated structures so they are treated as a 'structure' and would then have to meet structure setbacks from roadways and property lines.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
9.19 Swimming Pools	Current Ordinance regulates in the Commercial Recreation District.	Language relating to the health, safety and welfare of County Residents	Approved and Reviewed, comments relating to temporary pools, text adjusted for seasonal pools	No changes	No - State regulates through the Minnesota Pool Code for Public Facilities
10.5 Agricultural / Resource Conservation (A/RC)	Agricultural - Natural Resources (a/NR)	Goal of preservation and promotion of agriculture as a viable land use activity throughout the County. In order to accomplish this, it is recommended that a density control system be adopted for this general area. One non-farm dwelling per 40, 80 or 160 acres should be used depending upon parcel sizes, soils and productivity in the area as well as the desires of the Townships.	Reviewed and did not decide upon an acreage amount and forwarded the split Ag District (80/40) concept to the County Board	Reviewed the split AG District concept and indicated that a single AG district was the approach to take, settled on the minimum lot size of 40 acres with provisions to go for a CUP when property owner does not have minimum acreage (cup would review soil types and other topographical features)	Yes - State gives the authority to carry on County planning and zoning at the local level, allows the County to establish zoning districts that allow for a variety of uses in prescribed areas.
11.3 Shoreland, an overlay zoning district in which regulations have existed in some form since at least 1970	Current ordinance contains provisions	Yes-Extensive language pertaining to natural resource protection and goals and priorities for community	Proposal driven at the Planning Commission level.	Questions at the County Board Level	Yes-Minimum Standards are established by the State. Authorization contained in the Laws of Minnesota 1969, Chapter 777. State currently revising Shoreland Rules to draft an entirely new model shoreland ordinance which incorporates new enhanced standards, setbacks and many other performance standards. Planning Commission only accepting the new "Coldwater Stream" classification and setbacks portion of the proposed state ordinance, for inclusion into the proposed County ordinance at this time.
11.3.2 Shoreland Classification Scheme	Current ordinance classifies the majority of streams as "Tributary", meaning they are receiving the least or minimal protection in terms of setbacks and requirements for development purposes	Yes-and also action items/priorities listed in the County Water Plan	Proposal driven at the Planning Commission level.	Questions at the County Board Level	Yes- New standards will be required to be adopted by Counties once the state is completed with their state update process, however Planning Commission was very clear they would like this segment of the new rules brought forward in the County Ordinance now.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
11.4 Floodplain	Current Ordinance does address	Yes-One of the highest rankings for providing public health, safety and welfare	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes- Federal and State Mandated. Minnesota statutes Chapter 103F and 394 delegate the responsibility to local units of government to adopt regulations designed to minimize flood losses. The proposed County Ordinance attempts to streamline the existing language, and incorporating the minimum state and federal requirements. (No greater restrictions)
11.5 Wetlands	Current Ordinance does not address	Yes-and also action items/ priorities listed in the County Water Plan	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes -Winona County entered into enforcement and regulation of the Wetland Conservation Act in 1991. The County is required to comply with the Act by administering a program, and acting as the LGU-Local Government Unit on all aspects that require permits and decisions regarding wetlands.
11.6 Steep Slopes / Bluffs, regulates steep slopes, prohibits development on bluff sides, establishes top of bluff setback, distinguishes between Mississippi river valley bluffs and bluffs in the rest of the county, and establishes CUP criteria.	Current ordinance does not include a Steep Slopes / Bluffs section or any of the provisions contained within.	pg. 33 Development Goals and Policies states: "Carefully regulate urban or rural development on steep bluffs to minimize soil erosion."	PC reviewed & approved	CB added provision 11.6.1(4) that prohibits development between the top and the toe of the bluff.	No. Staff, Planning Commissioners, County Board, and Bluffland Environment Watch (BLEW) have all proposed sections of this chapter.
11.6.1 Steep Slopes / Bluffs, engineered plans are required for development on slopes over 12%	Current ordinance requires plans for development on slopes over 15% in the Agriculture/Natural Resources Zoning District.	pg. 9, 10 The "need of programs to protect forest areas and bluffs" were identified as one of the "Major Planning and Development Issues" in the plan.	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. This section was added during the Planning Commission's review process
11.7 Karst Features, prohibits dumping in sinkholes, establishes who is responsible for cleanup of sinkholes, establishes setbacks of 100 ft. for new dwellings, accessory structures, and septic systems from sinkholes.	Current ordinance does not include a Karst Features section or any of the provisions contained within.	pg. 17 states that because of the karst geology in Winona County "intense agricultural uses such as feedlots or solid waste disposal sites should be carefully regulated or prohibited entirely in these areas. In	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. This section was added after comments from SWCD and Planning Commission suggested there should be standards relating to karst features.

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
11.8 Archaeological Sites and Burial Grounds , establishes a process for archaeological survey and review for sites within high archaeological probability areas.	Current ordinance does not include a Archaeological Sites and Burial Grounds section or any of the provisions contained within.	no reference	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	No. Winona Dakota Unity Alliance advocated this and the Planning Commission asked Staff to draft language.
13 Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems	Current Ordinance. Revised ordinance is a new ordinance drafted from the state model. MPCA revised Rules 7080 in February 2008. All Counties are required to adopt the revised regulations in ordinance form by June 2010	Yes-Protection of natural resources & water quality- both surface and groundwater. Also high rank for protection of public health & safety	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes-Chapter 7080.0040 Subp. 2 of Minnesota State Rules require that all counties must adopt and implement an SSTS ordinance in compliance with MN Chapters 7080 and 7081
13.6.2 SSTS-Amendments to the Adopted Standards	Portions of Section exist in current ordinance. This section highlights the areas in which Winona County's ordinance contain additional local standards in addition to the minimum state standards. Land application of septage (optional provision) is also in this section.	Yes-Protection of natural resources & water quality- both surface and groundwater. Also high rank for protection of public health & safety	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes-Chapter 7080.0040 Subp. 2 of Minnesota State Rules require that all counties must adopt and implement an SSTS ordinance in compliance with MN Chapters 7080 and 7081
13.7 SSTS Permitting	Portions of Section exist in current ordinance. This section explains in greater detail the process involved according to permitting and inspection protocol for all SSTS program functions.	Yes-Protection of natural resources & water quality- both surface and groundwater. Also high rank for protection of public health & safety	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes-Chapter 7080.0040 Subp. 2 of Minnesota State Rules require that all counties must adopt and implement an SSTS ordinance in compliance with MN Chapters 7080 and 7081
13.10.2 SSTS Compliance Inspection Program	Major change or provision in this section, is 13.10.2 D regarding Transfer of Properties or "Point of Sale" . Not in current ordinance. This provision would require mandatory compliance inspections for existing systems prior to selling or transfer.	Yes-Protection of natural resources & water quality- both surface and groundwater. Also high rank for protection of public health & safety	PC Reviewed and Approved	No Changes	Yes-Chapter 7080.0040 Subp. 2 of Minnesota State Rules require that all counties must adopt and implement an SSTS ordinance in compliance with MN Chapters 7080 and 7081
Chapter 15 Subdivision Regulations	Existing Subdivision Regulations appear as amendment to the current Ordinance - the proposed Ordinance includes them as a specific chapter for improved integration with other regulations	Comp Plan promotes the adoption of effective methods of implementing goals and policies	PC reviewed and approved with minor revisions to the platting standards	No changes	Yes- State statutes provides the County with the authority to review and approve land subdivisions through the Planning Commission

"Draft" Section / Topic	Current Zoning Ordinance	Comp Plan	Planning Commission	County Board	State of Minnesota Regulations
15.1.3 Application (the division of land into three or more lots, one lot with a road, or one lot for commercial / industrial development triggers the subdivision regulations)	Current Subdivision Regulations contain similar triggering language with an exception being the creation of lots five-acres or more and having 300 feet of width, and not involving the construction of any streets or easements	Comp Plan calls for the effectively coordination of various public implementation tools (including the Subdivision Regulations)	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes-State statutes provides the County with the authority to review and approve land subdivisions through the Planning Commission
15.2.3(12) and (13) Roads, Highways, Streets, and Alleys (these provisions specified the need for sidewalks or pathways for multiple lot subdivisions)	Current ordinance does not include similar language.	Comp Plan calls for the effectively coordination of various public implementation tools (including the Subdivision Regulations)	PC reviewed & approved	No changes	Yes-State statutes provides the County with the authority to review and approve land subdivisions through the Planning Commission